

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURTBY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT SUPERSEDING**OFFENSE CHARGED**

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (a)(2) (false statements) (two counts);
 Title 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1)(A), 1321(b)(3) (Clean Water Act) (one count) (a Class A misdemeanor);
 Title 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707(a) (Migratory Bird Treaty Act) (one count) (a Class B Misdemeanor)

Petty
 Minor
 Misdemeanor
 Felony

PENALTY:

SEE ATTACHMENT A

Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEFENDANT - U.S.

JOHN JOSEPH COTA

DISTRICT COURT NUMBER

CR 08-160 SI

DEFENDANT**IS NOT IN CUSTODY**

Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.

1) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges

2) Is a Fugitive

3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)

IS IN CUSTODY

4) On this charge

5) On another conviction } Federal State

6) Awaiting trial on other charges

If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution

Has detainer Yes
 been filed? No

} If "Yes"
 give date
 filed

DATE OF ARREST

Month/Day/Year

Or... if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not

DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY

Month/Day/Year

 This report amends AO 257 previously submittedName and Office of Person
Furnishing Information on this form U.S. Attorney Other U.S. AgencyName of Assistant U.S.
Attorney (if assigned)**PROCESS:** SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT

Bail Amount: _____

If Summons, complete following:

 Arraignment Initial Appearance

* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment

Defendant Address: _____

Date/Time: _____ Before Judge: _____

Comments: _____

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS

ATTACHMENT A

PENALTY:

COUNTS ONE and TWO

False Statements

5 years imprisonment, 3 years supervised release, \$250,000 fine, and a \$100 special assessment.

COUNT THREE

Obstruction

1 year imprisonment, \$1000,000 fine, 1 year supervised release and a \$25 special assessment.

COUNT FOUR

Migratory Bird Treaty Act

6 months imprisonment, \$15,000 fine, 1 year supervised release and a \$10 special assessment.

United States District Court
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

JOHN JOSEPH COTA
and
FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

CR08-0160SI

DEFENDANT(S).

E-mail

FILED
08 JUL 22 PM 4:39
CLERK'S OFFICE, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
[Signature]

SECOND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (false statements)(five counts);
Title 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (obstruction)(three counts);
Title 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1)(A),1321(b)(3) (Clean Water Act)
(one count)(a Class A misdemeanor); Title 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707
(Migratory Bird Treaty Act) (one count) (a Class B Misdemeanor)

A true bill.

Angela Hernandez

Foreman

Filed in open court this 22 day of

July, 2008

[Signature]

Brenda Tolbert
Clerk
MARIA-ELENA JAMES

Bail, \$

No press

[Signature]

FILED

08 JUL 22 PM 4:39

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
 2 United States Attorney
 3 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)
 4 Chief, Criminal Division
 5 STACEY P. GEIS (CASBN 181444)
 6 JONATHAN SCHMIDT (CASBN 230646)
 7 Assistant United States Attorneys
 8 450 Golden Gate Ave., 11th Floor
 9 San Francisco, CA 94102
 10 (415) 436-7126 (tel)
 11 (415) 436-7234 (fax)
 12 Stacey.Geis@usdoj.gov
 13 Jonathan.Schmidt@usdoj.gov

14 RONALD J. TENPAS
 15 Assistant Attorney General
 16 Environment and Natural Resources Division
 17 United States Department of Justice
 18 RICHARD A. UDELL
 19 Senior Trial Attorney
 20 Environmental Crimes Section
 21 P.O. Box 23985
 22 L'Enfant Plaza Station
 23 Washington, DC 20004
 24 (202) 305-0361 (tel)
 25 (202) 514-8865 (fax)
 26 Richard.Udell@usdoj.gov

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 16 United States of America

E-filing

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20
 21 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, }
 22 Plaintiff, }
 23 v. }
 24 JOHN JOSEPH COTA, }
 25 and }
 26 FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED }
 27 Defendants. }

No. CR 08 -00160-SI

VIOLATIONS:

Title 18 U.S.C. § 1001 (false statements)
 (five counts);
 Title 18 U.S.C. § 1519 (obstruction)
 (three counts);
 Title 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1)(A),
 1321(b)(3) (Clean Water Act) (one
 count)(a Class A misdemeanor);
 Title 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707
 (Migratory Bird Treaty Act) (one count)
 (a Class B Misdemeanor)

SECOND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

The Grand Jury charges:

INTRODUCTION

At all times relevant to this Indictment:

1. The Defendant, JOHN JOSEPH COTA, was a resident of Petaluma, California, and a member of the San Francisco Bar Pilots Association. He held a federal first class pilot's license issued by the United States Coast Guard, and a state pilot's license issued by the Board of Pilot Commissioners for the Bays of San Francisco, San Pablo and Suisun. COTA had been employed as a Pilot in San Francisco Bay since 1981.

10 2. Defendant FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED (“FLEET”) was a ship
11 management company headquartered in Hong Kong. FLEET managed and operated
12 approximately 190 vessels, including the *M/V Cosco Busan*. As the operator of *M/V*
13 *Cosco Busan*, defendant FLEET was responsible for selecting, training and supervising
14 the vessel’s crew. Defendant FLEET acted by and through its agents and employees,
15 acting within the scope of their agency and employment and for the benefit of defendant
16 FLEET, including senior bridge officers and shore-based supervisory personnel.

17 3. The *M/V Cosco Busan* was a 901 foot, 65,131 gross ton freight vessel registered in
18 Hong Kong and bearing IMO number 9231743.

19 4. On or about October 24, 2007, defendant FLEET assumed responsibility for the
20 first time for operating the *M/V Cosco Busan* and on that same day, installed a new crew
21 on *M/V Cosco Busan*, most whom had not previously worked for FLEET and none of its
22 officers had previously worked on this vessel. On October 25, 2007, the *M/V Cosco*
23 *Busan* set sail for the United States from Pusan, Korea

24 5. The *M/V Cosco Busan* had a Bridge Procedures Manual that required detailed
25 passage planning before every voyage. The Manual stated that "the detailed plan should
26 embrace the whole passage, from berth-to-berth, and also include waters where a Pilot
27 will be on board" (Section 1.3.5.1) A berth-to-berth plan encompasses the entire voyage
28 of the vessel; a pilot-to-pilot plan covers the open ocean transit where pilots are not

1 required.

2 6. On November 7, 2007, the *M/V Cosco Busan*, with JOHN JOSEPH COTA as its
3 pilot, and with FLEET as its operator and employer of its master and crew, departed the
4 Port of Oakland in heavy fog and struck the Delta tower of the San Francisco Bay Bridge,
5 which resulted in the discharge of approximately 50,000 gallons of heavy fuel oil and
6 caused environmental damage, including the loss of migratory birds.

7 **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

8 Federal Requirements for Licensed Pilot's Annual Medical Exam

9 7. Title 46 U.S.C. § 7101 together with 46 C.F.R. §10.709 mandates that "every
10 person holding a license or endorsement as a first class pilot shall have a thorough
11 physical examination each year while holding a license or endorsement." 46 C.F.R. §
12 10.709(b). Further, an individual with a first class license or endorsement "may not
13 operate under authority of that license or endorsement until a physical examination has
14 been satisfactorily completed." 46 C.F.R. §10.709(d). The exam must be given by a
15 licensed physician or physician assistant who completes a Coast Guard physical
16 examination form or the equivalent. 46 C.F.R. §§ 10.205(d), 10.709(d).

17 The Clean Water Act and the Oil Pollution Act

18 8. In the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act"), as amended
19 by the Oil Pollution Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b)(1), Congress has declared that it is the
20 policy of the United States that there should be no discharges of oil or hazardous
21 substances into or upon the navigable waters of the United States or the adjoining
22 shorelines.

23 9. The Clean Water Act makes it a crime for a person to negligently discharge oil into
24 or upon the navigable waters or contiguous zone of the United States in such quantities as
25 may be harmful. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1) and 1321(b)(3).

26 10. The Clean Water Act defines a "discharge" as any spilling, leaking, pumping,
27 pouring, emitting, emptying or dumping. 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(2). The Clean Water Act
28 defines "oil" as oil of any kind or in any form, including, but not limited to, petroleum,

1 fuel oil, sludge and oil residue. 33 U.S.C. § 1321(a)(1).

2 11. Federal regulations promulgated under the Clean Water Act define a “harmful”
3 quantity of oil as including any discharges of oil that cause a film or sheen upon or
4 discoloration of the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines or cause a sludge or
5 emulsion to be deposited beneath the surface of the water or adjoining shorelines. 40
6 C.F.R. § 110.3.

7 12. The Clean Water Act defines the “navigable waters” of the United States as the
8 waters of the United States and the territorial seas, which are defined to be water
9 extending three (3) miles seaward of the ordinary low tide mark. 33 U.S.C. §§ 1362(7)
10 and 1362(8). Navigable waters also includes internal waters, which are “the waters
11 shoreward of the territorial sea baseline.” 33 C.F.R. §§ 2.24(a); 2.36. San Francisco Bay
12 is a navigable waterway of the United States.

13 The Migratory Bird Treaty Act

14 13. The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (“MBTA”) makes it unlawful for any person, at
15 any time, by any means or in any manner, to take or kill any migratory bird without a
16 permit or as otherwise provided by regulation. 16 U.S.C. §§ 703, 707(a).

17 14. The term “take” in the MBTA includes killing or wounding. 50 C.F.R. § 10.12.

18 15. The Brown Pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*), Marbled Murrelet, (*Brachyramphus*
19 *marmoratus*), and Western Grebe, (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*), among others, are listed
20 as migratory birds pursuant to the MBTA. 50 C.F.R. § 10.13.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 COUNT ONE

2 18 U.S.C. §1001(a)(2) (False Statements)

3 16. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set
4 forth herein.

5 17. On or about January 18, 2006, in the Northern District of California, the
6 defendant,

7 JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

8 knowingly and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and
9 representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the
10 Government of the United States, specifically on United States Coast Guard Form CG-
11 719K – Merchant Mariner Physical Examination Report – in that he certified that all the
12 information he provided was complete and true to the best of his knowledge, when in fact
13 he knew that the information he provided was neither complete nor true; including the
14 information provided in Sections VI and VII of the form regarding current medications,
15 the dosage, possible side effects and medical conditions for which the medications were
16 taken.

17 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT TWO

18 U.S.C. §1001(a)(2) (False Statements)

18. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

19. On or about January 19, 2007, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

JOHN JOSEPH COTA,

knowingly and willfully made a materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statement and representation in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, specifically on United States Coast Guard Form CG-719K – Merchant Mariner Physical Examination Report – in that he certified that all the information he provided was complete and true to the best of his knowledge, when in fact he knew that the information he provided was neither complete nor true; including the information provided in Sections VI and VII of the form regarding current medications, the dosage, possible side effects and medical conditions for which the medications were taken.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

COUNT THREE

33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(c)(1)(A), 1321(b)(3)

(Clean Water Act – Negligent Discharge of a Pollutant)

20. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

21. Between on or about October 24, 2007, and on or about November 7, 2007, in San Francisco Bay, within the Northern District of California, the defendants,

JOHN JOSEPH COTA, and
FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

did negligently discharge and cause the discharge of oil in such quantities as may be harmful from a vessel, the *M/V Cosco Busan*, into and upon the navigable waters of the United States. Specifically, on or about November 7, 2007, defendants John Joseph Cota (Cota) and Fleet Management Limited (Fleet), acting by and through its agents and employees, negligently caused more than 50,000 gallons of heavy fuel oil to be discharged from the vessel into San Francisco Bay by acting in a negligent manner, that included, one or more of the following:

- A. Fleet failed to adequately train the Master and crew of the *M/V Cosco Busan*, including with regard to voyage passage planning, the role of the Master and crew to a pilot, the ship's navigational procedures and the ship's navigational equipment, including the ship's electronic chart system;
- B. Fleet and Cota failed to navigate an allision free course;
- C. Fleet and Cota failed to prepare and review an adequate passage plan before departure;
- D. Fleet and Cota failed to conduct an adequate review with the Pilot, Master and crew of the *M/V Cosco Busan* before departure of the official navigational charts, the proposed course, the location of the San Francisco Bay aids to navigation, and the operation of the vessel's navigational equipment;
- E. Fleet and Cota departed in heavy fog;
- F. Fleet and Cota proceeded at an unsafe speed during the voyage despite limited visibility;
- G. Fleet and Cota failed to use the vessel's radar while making the final approach to the Bay Bridge;
- H. Fleet and Cota failed to adequately read and operate the vessel's electronic chart

1 while making the final approach to the Bay Bridge;

2 I. Fleet and Cota failed to adequately use the vessel's paper charts by failing to
3 record and review positional fixes during the voyage;

4 J. Fleet and Cota failed to verify the vessel's position in relation to other established
5 and recognized aids to navigation throughout the voyage;

6 K. Fleet failed to ensure that adequate lookouts were posted during the voyage; and

7 L. Fleet failed to notify the Pilot when the vessel went off course while making the
8 final approach to the Delta-Echo span of the Bay Bridge.

9 All in violation of Title 33, United States Code, Sections 1319(c)(1)(A) and
10 1321(b)(3), a Class A misdemeanor.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

COUNT FOUR

16 U.S.C. §§ 703 and 707(a) (Migratory Bird Treaty Act)

22. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

23. On or about November 7, 2007, in San Francisco Bay, within the Northern District of California, the defendants,

JOHN JOSEPH COTA, and
FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

acting by and through its agents and employees, without being permitted to do so by regulation as required by law, did take migratory birds, including at least one Brown Pelican, (*Pelecanus occidentalis*), Marbled Murrelet, (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) and Western Grebe, (*Aechmophorus occidentalis*).

All in violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 703 and 707(a), and Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 21.11, 20.71 and 20.72, a Class B misdemeanor.

1 **COUNT FIVE**

2 18 U.S.C. §1001(a)(2) (False Statements)

3 24. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set
4 forth herein.

5 27. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007 in the
6 Northern District of California, the defendant,

7 FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

8 knowingly and willfully made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and
9 representations in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the
10 Government of the United States, specifically, defendant Fleet Management Limited,
11 acting through its agents and employees, created a berth- to-berth passage plan purporting
12 to be the passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s
13 October 25, 2007, voyage from Pusan to Long Beach, when in fact, Fleet Management
14 Limited knew that this passage plan was prepared after November 7, 2007, and that the
15 actual passage plan prepared for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s October 25, 2007, voyage from
16 Pusan to Long Beach was a pilot-to-pilot passage plan that was not actually prepared until
17 on or about November 2, 2007.

18 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT SIX

18 U.S.C. §1001(a)(2) (False Statements)

28. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

29. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007 in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

knowingly and willfully made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, specifically, defendant Fleet Management Limited, acting through its agents and employees, created a berth-to-berth passage plan purporting to be the passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November 6, 2007, voyage from Long Beach to Oakland when in fact, Fleet Management Limited knew that this passage plan was prepared after November 7, 2007 and that the actual passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November 6, 2007, voyage from Long Beach to Oakland was a pilot-to-pilot passage plan.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

COUNT SEVEN

18 U.S.C. §1001(a)(2) (False Statements)

30. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

31. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007 in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

knowingly and willfully made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the Government of the United States, specifically, defendant Fleet Management Limited, acting through its agents and employees, created a berth-to-berth passage plan on a Fleet format purporting to be the passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November 7, 2007, voyage from Oakland to Pusan, when in fact, Fleet Management Limited knew that this passage plan was prepared after November 7, 2007, and that the actual passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November 7, 2007, voyage from Oakland to Pusan was a pilot-to-pilot passage plan on a Waypoint for Windows format.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001(a)(2).

COUNT EIGHT

18 U.S.C. §1519 (Obstruction)

34. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein

35. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED.

knowingly altered, destroyed, mutilated, concealed, covered up, falsified, and made false entries in a record with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigation and proper administration of a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Coast Guard and Department of Homeland Security and in relation to and in contemplation of a matter, specifically, after the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s allision with the San Francisco Bay bridge and the resulting discharge of approximately 50,000 gallons of oil, defendant Fleet Management Limited, acting through its agents and employees:

- A. made a false and fictitious berth-to-berth passage plan purporting to be the passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s October 25, 2007, voyage from Pusan, Korea, to Long Beach, California;
- B. made a false passage planning appraisal checklist for the October 25, 2007, voyage, purporting to be the actual passage planning appraisal checklist prepared in advance of the voyage; and
- C. concealed and covered up the actual passage plan for this voyage that contained only pilot-to-pilot information.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1519.

COUNT NINE

18 U.S.C. §1519 (Obstruction)

36. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.

37. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

knowingly altered, destroyed, mutilated, concealed, covered up, falsified, and made false entries in a record with the intent to impede, obstruct, or influence the investigation and proper administration of a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Coast Guard and Department of Homeland Security and in relation to and in contemplation of a matter, specifically, after the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s allision with the San Francisco Bay bridge and the resulting discharge of approximately 50,000 gallons of oil, defendant Fleet Management Limited, acting through its agents and employees:

- A. made a false and fictitious berth-to-berth passage plan purporting to be the passage plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November 6, 2007, voyage from Long Beach, California, to Oakland, California;
- B. made a false passage planning appraisal checklist for the November 6, 2007, voyage purporting to be the passage planning appraisal checklist prepared in advance of the voyage; and
- C. concealed and covered up the actual passage plan for this voyage that contained only pilot-to-pilot information.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1519.

1 COUNT TEN

2 18 U.S.C. §1519 (Obstruction)

3 38. Paragraphs 1-15 are realleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set
4 forth herein

5 39. Between on or about November 7, 2007, and on or about December 7, 2007, in
6 the Northern District of California the defendant,

7 FLEET MANAGEMENT LIMITED,

8 knowingly altered, destroyed, mutilated, concealed, covered up, falsified, and made false
9 entries in a record with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigation and
10 proper administration of a matter within the jurisdiction of the U.S. Coast Guard and
11 Department of Homeland Security and in relation to and in contemplation of a matter,
12 specifically, after the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s allision with the San Francisco Bay bridge and
13 the resulting discharge of approximately 50,000 gallons of oil, defendant Fleet

14 Management Limited, acting through its agents and employees:

15 A. made a false and fictitious berth-to-berth passage plan purporting to be the passage
16 plan prepared and available on the bridge for the *M/V Cosco Busan*'s November
17 7, 2007, voyage from Oakland, California, to Pusan, Korea;

18 B. made a false passage planning appraisal checklist for the November 7, 2007,
19 voyage purporting to be the passage planning appraisal checklist that was prepared
20 in advance of the voyage; and

21 C. concealed and covered up the actual passage plan for the November 7, 2007,
22 voyage that contained only pilot-to-pilot information.

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1 All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1519.
2

3 DATED:

A TRUE BILL.

4 7/22/08
5

Angela M. Schmidt
6
7 FOREPERSON
8

9
10 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
11 United States Attorney
12

13 
14 BRIAN J. STRETCH
15 Chief, Criminal Division
16

17 (Approved as to form: 
18 AUSA Schmidt and Geis
19

20 RONALD J. TENPAS
21 Assistant Attorney General
22 Environment & Natural Resources Division
23 U.S. Department of Justice
24

25 
26 RICHARD A. UDELL
27 Senior Trial Attorney
28 Environmental Crimes Section
U.S. Department of Justice